Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group

Ad Hoc Committee on Strategic Planning

Report to AMWG, January 2001

Strategic Plan Update

This document consists of the following components, which should be viewed as an integrated whole. Together, they guide the work of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group.

- Vision and Mission
- Principles
- Goals
- Objectives
- Glossary

Attach_03 Page 1 of 37

Vision and Mission

The Grand Canyon is a homeland for some, sacred to many, and a national treasure for all. In honor of past generations, and on behalf of those of the present and future, we envision an ecosystem where the resources and natural processes are in harmony under a stewardship worthy of the Grand Canyon.

We advise the Secretary of the Interior on how best to protect, mitigate adverse impacts to, and improve the integrity of the Colorado River ecosystem affected by Glen Canyon Dam, including natural biological diversity (emphasizing native biodiversity), traditional cultural properties, spiritual values, and cultural, physical, and recreational resources through the operation of Glen Canyon Dam and other means.

We do so in keeping with the federal trust responsibilities to Indian tribes, in compliance with applicable federal, state, and tribal laws, including the water delivery obligations of the Law of the River, and with due consideration to the economic value of power resources.

This will be accomplished through our long-term partnership utilizing the best available scientific and other information through an adaptive ecosystem management process.

Attach_03 Page 2 of 37

Principles

The Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group embraces the following Principles. They guided development of the Goals and Objectives for the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program (GCDAMP). These Principles are:

- 1. The Goals represent a set of desired outcomes that together will accomplish our Vision and achieve the purpose of the Grand Canyon Protection Act. Some of the Objectives and actions that fall under these Goals may not be the responsibility of the GCDAMP, and may be funded by other sources, but are included here for completeness.
- 2. The construction of Glen Canyon Dam and the introduction of non-native species have irreversibly changed the Colorado River ecosystem.
- 3. Much remains unknown about the Colorado River ecosystem below Glen Canyon Dam and how to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem Goals.
- 4. The Colorado River ecosystem is a managed ecosystem. An ecosystem management approach, in lieu of an issues, species, or resources approach, will guide our efforts. Management efforts will prevent any further human-induced extirpation or extinction of native species.
- 5. An adaptive management approach will be used to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem Goals, through experimentation and monitoring, to meet the intent of the Grand Canyon Protection Act, the Environmental Impact Statement, and the Record of Decision.
- 6. Management actions, <u>including changes in dam operations</u>, will be tried that attempt to return ecosystem patterns and processes to their range of natural variability. When this is not appropriate, <u>or beyond the range of operational flexibility of the dam</u>, experiments will be conducted to test other approaches.
- 7. Because management actions to achieve a Goal may benefit one resource or value and adversely affect another, those action alternatives that benefit all resources and values will be pursued first. When this is not possible, actions that have a neutral impact, or as a last resort, actions that minimize negative impacts on other resources will be pursued, consistent with the final Glen Canyon Dam EIS and the Record of Decision.
- 8. If the target of a management objective proves to be inappropriate, unrealistic, or unattainable, the AMP will reevaluate that target and the methods used to attain it.
- <u>8.9.</u> Recognizing the diverse perspectives and spiritual values of the stakeholders, the unique aesthetic value of the Grand Canyon will be respected and enhanced.

Attach_03 Page 3 of 37

Goals

- 1. Protect or improve the aquatic foodbase so that it will support viable populations of <u>desired</u> species at higher trophic levels.
- 2. Maintain or attain viable populations of existing native fish and remove jeopardy from humpback chub and razorback sucker.
- 3. Restore populations of extirpated species, as feasible and advisable.
- 4. Maintain a wild reproducing population of rainbow trout above Lees Ferry the Paria River, to the extent practicable and consistent with the maintenance of viable populations of native fish.
- 5. Maintain or attain viable populations of Kanab ambersnail.
- 6. Protect or improve the biotic riparian and spring communities.
- 7. Establish water temperature, quality, and flow dynamics to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem goals.
- 8. Increase fine Maintain or attain levels of sediment storage within the main channel and along shorelines to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem goals.
- Goal 8. Protect the presence of southwestern willow flycatcher in a manner consistent with riparian ecosystem goals
- 9. Maintain or improve the quality of recreational experiences for users of the Colorado River ecosystem, within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals.
- 10. Maintain or increase power capacity and energy generation, and increase where feasible and advisable, within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals.
- 11. Preserve, protect, manage, and treat cCultural resources within the river corridor shall be preserved, protected, managed and treated for the inspiration and benefit of past, present and future generations.
- 12. Maintain a high-quality monitoring, research, and adaptive management program. (These goals are now part of Goal 12: Build a broad, effective, outreach program. Broaden the funding base to achieve GCDAMP goals and objectives.)

Attach_03 Page 4 of 37

Goal 1. Protect or improve the aquatic foodbase so that it will support viable populations of <u>desired</u> species at higher trophic levels.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | Fromthe current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

| Tł | ne target for all th | ne Management Obje | | | ability to support trout and nativ bers of fish desired under Goals | e fish above the Paria River, and s 2, 3, and 4. | native fish below the Paria |
|------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1.1 (1 and 3) | Maintain or attain | Algae and periphyton Primary producers: algae on hard substrates, rooted macrophytes on soft substrates, and diatoms | Biomass Composition | Mainstem from Glen Canyon Dam to the Paria River in both pools and on cobble bars identified by specific sampling sites | 17.5 x +/- y g/m² (Cobble) (22) 2.7 a +/- b g/m² (Pool) (27) | 150 g/m²(277) x +/- y g/m² (Cobble) a +/- b g/m² (Pool) (Need to resolve differences between data from Shannon et al. and AGFD.) Obtain from literature Information Need | Also see McKinney et al. 1999 ⁽²²⁾ The small group suggested the target should be the average of 1996 and 1997 data which they believe represents the best biomass estimates for the period in which data is available, and because they appeared to be good years to support the desired species. Metric is % of algal species that support upright diatoms. Given the change in composition, the idea of Cladophora as a keystone species has been called into question. Scientists have said composition is an Information Need and should not be broken down below algae and macrophytes at this point in time. |
| | | | | | | | Cladophora |

Page 5 of 37

Goal 1. Protect or improve the aquatic foodbase so that it will support viable populations of <u>desired</u> species at higher trophic levels.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | Fromthe current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

| Th | ne target for all th | ne Management Ob | | | ability to support trout and native others of fish desired under Goals | | and native fish below the Paria |
|---------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|---|---|---|
| 1.2 (2) | Maintain or attain | Benthic invertebrates | Biomass | Mainstem from Glen Canyon Dam to Paria | $\frac{5.0 \text{ x +/- y g/m}^2 \text{ (Cobble)}^{\frac{(27)}{2}}}{1.0 \text{a +/- b g/m}^2 \text{ (Pool)}^{\frac{(27)}{2}}}$ | $\frac{5000 \text{ g/m}^{2(27)}}{\text{x +/- y g/m}^2 \text{ (Cobble)}}$ $\frac{\text{a +/- b g/m}^2 \text{ (Pool)}}{\text{a +/- b g/m}^2 \text{ (Pool)}}$ | Also see McKinney et al. 1999 ⁽²²⁾ |
| | | | Composition | Dam to Paria River | -0.4% Worms -3.6% Gammarus -5.5% Oligochaetes -0.1% Simulium 28.8% Midges -3.8% Miscellaneous 57.7% Gastropoda (Cobble) (Cobble) 9999-1.0% Worms -0.9% Gammarus 35.7% Oligochaete 22.3% Midges (Pool) (27) Cobble: | a +/- b g/m² (Pool) Information Need | Metric is relative % of species. |
| | | | Production | _ | (Data to be filled in by Joe Shannon and AGFD.) Information Need | Information Need | Metric is g/m²/time |

Page 6 of 37

Goal 1. Protect or improve the aquatic foodbase so that it will support viable populations of <u>desired</u> species at higher trophic levels.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | Fromthe current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

The target for all the Management Objectives in Goal 1 is adequate food availability to support trout and native fish above the Paria River, and native fish below the Paria River. Linkages: See the numbers of fish desired under Goals 2, 3, and 4. Mainstem from Information Need 1.3 Maintain or **Biomass** Information Need Aquatic attain (3)Composition Glen Canyon Information Need **Information Need** Dam to Paria Production Metric is g/m²/time River $50 \text{ g/m}^{2(27)}$ **RM**River g/m² Mainstem below 1.34 Maintain or Primary Biomass the Paria River Mile (4 attain producers: algae COBBLE on cobble bars and on hard 6) substrates and, identified by 2 rooted specific 61 macrophytes on sampling sites 68 soft substrates. 127 and diatoms 205 RMR Information Need Composition Metric is relative % of % Macro-% algal species. MAMB is <u>iver</u> Algae phytes Mile for miscellaneous algae, macrophytes, and **POOLS** bryophytes 2 61 68 127 205 **COBBLE** 2 61 68 127

Page 7 of 37

205

Goal 1. Protect or improve the aquatic foodbase so that it will support viable populations of <u>desired</u> species at higher trophic levels.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | Fromthe current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

| 1. <u>4</u> 5 | Maintain or | Benthic | Biomass | Mainstem below | 0.960 g/m² (Cobble) ⁽²⁷⁾ 0.054 g/m² (Pool) ⁽²⁷⁾ | Obtain from literature To be | |
|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| (5) | attain | invertebrates | | the Paria River | $0.054 \text{ g/m}^2 (\text{Pool})^{(27)}$ | provided based on 1996-97 | |
| | | | | | | <u>data.</u> | |
| | | | Composition | | -0.4% Worm | Obtain from literature | Metric is relative % of |
| | | | | | -7.1% Gammarus | | species. |
| | | | | | -8.2% Oligochaete | | |
| | | | | | 4.3% Simulium | | |
| | | | | | 55.4% Chironomid | | |
| | | | | | -3.6% Miscellaneous | | |
| | | | | | 21.0% Gastropod (Cobble) ⁽²⁷⁾ | | |
| | | | | | (Cobble) | | |
| | | | | | -0.4% Worm | | |
| | | | | | 1.1% Gammarus | | |
| | | | | | 30.1% Oligochaete | | |
| | | | | | 14.3% Simulium | | |
| | | | | | 48.9% Chironomid | | |
| | | | | | -1.2% Miscellaneous | | |
| | | | | | _1.0% Gastropod | | |
| | | | | | (Pool) ⁽²⁷⁾ | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Cobble: | | |
| | | | | | <u>% Tubificids</u> | | |
| | | | | | <u> % Gammarus</u> | | |
| | | | | | <u>% Chironomids</u> | | |
| | | | | | <u>% Gastropods</u> | | |
| | | | | | <u>% Other</u> | | |
| | | | | | B 1 | | |
| | | | | | Pool: | | |
| | | | | | % Tubificids | | |
| | | | | | <u>% Gammarus</u> % Chironomids | | |
| | | | | | % Chironomius % Gastropods | | |
| | | | | | % Gastropous % Other | | |
| | | | Production Production | - | | Information Need | Metric is g/m ² /time |
| | | | Production | | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is g/m²/time |

Page 8 of 37

Goal 1. Protect or improve the aquatic foodbase so that it will support viable populations of <u>desired</u> species at higher trophic levels.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | Fromthe current level | To the target level | Comments |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|----------|
| | | | | At some place Mainstem below GCD | -20 Worms -500 Gammarus -120 Oligochaetes -10 Simulium 2150 Midges -20 Miscellaneous 1580 Gastropod (Cobble at Mile 2) 0.024 g/m²/s (Plants) 0.056 g/m²/s (Detritus) 0.001 g/m²/s (Inverts) River Mile AFDW | To the target level Information Need Obtain from literature To be provided based on 1996-97 data.) | Comments |
| | | FPOM DOC | Composition | | 61 68 127 205 29.2% (Plants) 69.3% (Detritus) -1.1% (CPOM inverts) -0.4% (FPOM inverts) | Obtain from literature | |

Page 9 of 37

Goal 2. Maintain or attain viable populations of existing native fish and remove jeopardy from humpback chub and razorback sucker.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|---------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| 2.1 (8) | Maintain or attain | Humpback chub (150 mm and larger) (Length is based on the size at which a HBC is able to be pittagged.) | Abundance | LCR and mainstem within 3 miles of LCR LCR aggregation (The definition of the LCR aggregation will be resolved following completion of the stock assessment workshop and the PEP review.) | 8096-4330-4811 individuals (3;36) with a mean of 4508 individuals | Information Need | The target is viable populations and removal of jeopardy. Target to be based on 91-96 population estimate, PVA, & N _e . |
| 2.2 (9) | Maintain or attain | Humpback chub (51 mm to 150 mm) | Abundance Year class strength | Mainstem except within 3 miles of the LCR Eight mainstem aggregations LCR aggregationLCR and mainstem | 225 individuals (3; 36) Information Need ?? Confidence interval with a mean of 225 individuals?? Obtain from literature Information Need. Consider using a CPUE index for | Information Need. Intended to be an index that will indicate spawning success. | The target is viable populations and removal of jeopardy. |
| | | | | within 3 miles of LCR Eight mainstem aggregations Mainstem except within 3 miles of the LCR | different year classes, at some place in the LCR at some time during the year. 0-74 captures/trip (9) Information Need | Information Need | Metric is "catch per unit effort" (CPUE). See Gorman and Bramblett. ⁽⁹⁾ See synthesis by Coggins. |

Page 10 of 37

Goal 2. Maintain or attain viable populations of existing native fish and remove jeopardy from humpback chub and razorback sucker.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 (ne w) | Maintain or attain | Humpback chub (> 200 mm) (This is the length at which | Recruitment | LCR aggregation | Information Need | Information Need | Target is viable populations and removal of jeopardy. |
| | | 50% of the fish are thought to be sexually mature.) | | 8 mainstem aggregations | Information Need | Information Need | |
| 2. <u>4</u> 3 (10) | Establish | Humpback chub | PopulationsSpa wning aggregation | CRE downstream of GCD | One self-sustaining populationspawning aggregation in the LCR | One additional self- sustaining populationA second spawning aggregation | The target is viable populations and removal of jeopardy. |
| 2. <u>5</u> 4 (11) | Attain | Humpback chub | Condition | LCR aggregationLCR and mainstem within 3 miles of LCR | Information Need | Information Need. There should be a minimum threshold. | |
| | | | | 8 mainstem aggregations Mainstem except within 3 miles of the LCR | Information Need | Information Need | The target is viable populations and removal of jeopardy. PEP should be asked to evaluate the |
| | | | Health Disease and other parasites | LCR aggregationLCR and mainstem within 3 miles of LCR | Information Need | Information Need | method that would be used to calculate condition and the value to be established as the threshold. |
| | | | | 8 mainstem aggregations Mainstem except within 3 miles of the LCR | Information Need | Information Need | |

Page 11 of 37

Goal 2. Maintain or attain viable populations of existing native fish and remove jeopardy from humpback chub and razorback sucker.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| 2.5 (12) | Maintain or attain | Humpback chub | Spawning | LCR and mainstem within 3 miles of LCR Mainstem except within 3 miles of the | Information Need Information Need | Information Need Information Need | See Gorman and Bramblett. (2) The target is viable populations and removal of jeopardy. |
| 2.6 (13) | Reduce | Non- nativeNative fish | Predation on native fish Mortality due to | LCR CRE below GCD LCR | Information Need | Information Need | Metric for 'predation on native fish' is rate of predation. See Gorman |
| | | | non-native fish predation as a % of overall mortality | Mainstem | Information Need | Information Need | and Bramblett. The target is reduction of non-native fish predation and competition so they it |
| | | | Competition with native fish | CRE below GCD | Information Need | Information Need | does not impinge on native fish viability. Suggested metrics for this MO are stomach content analysis, abundance, and distribution. Linkages: The native fish MOs in Goal 2 and Goal 3. |
| 2.7 (14) | Attain | Razorback sucker | Populations Abu ndance | CRE below GCD | 0 individuals ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | Target is derived from the capability of the habitat to support the species. |
| 2.8 (15) | Maintain | Flannelmouth sucker | Abundance and distribution Distribution | CRE below GCD | ?? AGFD to provide (9) | Information Need Information Need | Appropriate metric to be determined. |
| | | Bluehead sucker Speckled dace | Abundance Distribution Abundance Distribution | | ?? AGFD to provide (9) | Information Need Information Need Information Need Information Need | The target is viable populations and removal of jeopardy. |

Page 12 of 37

Goal 3. Restore populations of extirpated species, as feasible and advisable.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | | | | | 1 (0) | | |
| 3.1 (16) | Restore | Colorado pikeminnow | Abundance | CRE downstream of | 0 individuals ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | |
| | | Bonytail | | GCD | 0 individuals ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | |
| | | Roundtail Chub | | | 0 individuals ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | |
| | | River otter | | | 0 individuals (10) | Information Need | |

Page 13 of 37

Goal 4. Maintain a wild reproducing population of rainbow trout above <u>Lees Ferrythe Paria River</u>, to the extent practicable and consistent with the maintenance of viable populations of native fish.

NOTE ON GOAL 4: The purpose of this goal is recreation. It is limited by MO 13.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

| This ! | goal is self-expla | natory and does no | t need a separate qua | llitative target. <u>Link</u> | kages: See Issue Paper B (trout). | | |
|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| 4.1 (17) | Maintain or attain | Rainbow trout (RBT) | Abundance | Mainstem from Glen Canyon Dam to Paria River | 260,000 +/- 30,000 Age II+ individuals (23) Electrofishing CPUE | 100,000 <u>-250,000</u> Age II+ individuals Information Need | An upper threshold level of population abundance should be developed that triggers some action to reduce abundance so population densities do not drive the other suite of RBT indicators below acceptable levels. Target is the level at which trout do not impinge on native |
| | | | Electrofishing CPUE Proportional Stock Density (see below) | | Information Need 15% | Information Need Information Need | Might replace measure of "length at age" in the future. Value of metric needs to be assessed. |
| | | | Length at age Condition Whirling disease and other parasitic infections | | 15" by Age III ⁽²³⁾ $W_{r} = 0.82^{(23)}$ Absence | 15 - 18" by Age III $W_r = 0.90$ Absence | |
| | | | Spawning habitat | | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is quality and abundance of habitat. |
| 4.2 new | Maintain or attain | Rainbow trout | Natural recruitment | | 100% | 100% | This MO restates and measures the goal. |

Page 14 of 37

Goal 4. Maintain a wild reproducing population of rainbow trout above <u>Lees Ferrythe Paria River</u>, to the extent practicable and consistent with the maintenance of viable populations of native fish.

NOTE ON GOAL 4: The purpose of this goal is recreation. It is limited by MO 13.

| МО | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 4. <u>2</u> 3 | Maintain or | Lees Ferry RBT | Distribution | CRE b Below the | Information Need | Information Need. Some | Target is some number |
| new | attain Limit | | | Paria River | | number that suggests | that suggests minimal |
| | | | | | | minimal competitive or | competitive or predator / |
| | | | | | | predator / prey effect on | prey effect on |
| | | | | | | downstream native fish. | downstream native fish. |
| | | | | | | Need research and data that | |
| | | | | | | demonstrate predator / prey | |
| | | | | | | and competitive effect. | |

Proportional Stock Density is the ratio that results by dividing the number of fish great than 16" by the number of all fish greater than 12". This provides a measure of the abundance of fish at a certain size, which should translate into a target for both abundance and length at age.

Page 15 of 37

Goal 5. Maintain or attain viable populations of Kanab ambersnail.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 5.1 (24) | Attain and maintain | Kanab ambersnail | Population | Vasey's Paradise | 7100 (April 1999) 6400 (May 1999) 20,000 (July 1999) 35,000 (Sept/Oct 1999) (Individuals below 70,000 cfs stage) ⁽²⁴⁾ | Information Need (to be measured in the spring and before any Management Action that may affect the population) | The metric is the population parameter(s) that indicate viability. Target is a viable population. "Viable" includes the entire population, not just those below 70,000 cfs. Management Action: monitor the KAS populations at Keyhole, Elves, and Deer Creek |
| 5.2 (25) | Maintain | Kanab ambersnail | Habitat | Above some stage level at Vasey's Paradise (stage level is an Information Need) | 82-99 m² monkeyflower and 36.6 m² watercress below 70,000 cfs stage. Information Need (for above new stage level when it is determined) | Information Need. An tenxyear running average greater than or equal to 5000% of the total area of occupied habitat measured at Vasey's in March 1996, with a minimal level TBD. | Target is level needed to sustain a viable population. Purpose is to limit human impact, by intentional flooding or other actions, to habitats occupied by Kanab ambersnail. |

Page 16 of 37

NOTE ON GOAL 6: This goal is intended to help achieve the biological, cultural, and recreational goals.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

The target is an achievable and appropriate mix of these four types of communities: marsh, open sand beach, old high water zone (OHWZ), and new high water zone (NHWZ). All four communities are important for maintaining the diversity of wildlife. The Old High Water Zone is a high priority because of the threat of losing it. One way of maintaining it is through high flows, which may have a negative effect on marshes and New High Water Zones.

Considering the legal and regulatory mandates of the NPS to protect natural landscapes and native species and communities, considering regenerative capabilities, and

recognizing the dynamic and successional nature of these communities, the other three zones would be a lower priority.

| 6.1 | Maintain | Marsh | Abundance | CRE below | 1215 patches (4.6 ha) ⁽⁷⁾ | For a <mark>10x</mark> -year running | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and |
|------|----------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| (29) | | | | GCD | | average of 1000 y or more | Stevens et al. (29). |
| | | | | | | marsh patches $>/= 10 \text{ m}^2$, as | |
| | | | | | | determined by standard | |
| | | | | | | criteria for wetland species, | |
| | | | | | | soil type, and wetted area. | |
| | | | Composition | | Information Need | No loss of native species. | |
| | | | | | | Species are assumed still to | |
| | | | | | | be present when they have | |
| | | | | | | been detected by monitoring | |
| | | | | | | within the last 10 years. | |
| | | | Area | | Information Need | For a 10 x-year running | |
| | | | | | | average area equal to +/- | |
| | | | | | | 50y w of the area defined by | |
| | | | | | | aerial imaging in 2000. | |
| | | | | | | | |

Page 17 of 37

NOTE ON GOAL 6: This goal is intended to help achieve the biological, cultural, and recreational goals.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

| | | | | ı | | <u> </u> | |
|------|----------|----------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 6.2 | Maintain | New high water | Patch number | CRE below | Information Need | Information Need | Target is to ensure that |
| (30) | | zone community | and distribution | GCD | | | NHWZ vegetation is not |
| | | | | | | | eliminated from any |
| | | | | | | | reaches where it already |
| | | | | | | | occurs. Metric is number |
| | | | | | | | of miles per reach. In all |
| | | | | | | | river reaches where it was |
| | | | | | | | documented by aerial |
| | | | | | | | imaging photography in |
| | | | | | | | 20001984 the target is |
| | | | | | | | to allow for scouring of |
| | | | | | | | some NHWZ vegetation |
| | | | | | | | due to periodic high |
| | | | | | | | flows, and to allow its |
| | | | | | | | return through |
| | | | | | | | successional processes. |
| | | | Composition | | Information Need | For no loss of native plant or | Target is to allow no loss |
| | | | | | | animal species. Species are | of native plant or animal |
| | | | | | | assumed still to be present | species. |
| | | | | | | when they have been | |
| | | | | | | detected by monitoring | |
| | | | | | | within the last 10 years. | |
| | | | Area | | Information Need | For a 10 x-year running | NHWZ vegetation & sand |
| | | | | | | average area equal to +/- | beaches occur in the same |
| | | | | | | 50y of the area defined by | strip of land. An increase |
| | | | | | | aerial imaging in 2000. | to NHWZ vegetation will |
| | | | | | | In any given year, the | reduce the amount of |
| | | | | | | vegetated area should not be | open sand, and vice versa. |
| | | | | | | less than the area measured | These objectives are |
| | | | | | | in 1983 or 25% of the area | therefore closely linked to |
| | | | | | | measured in 2000 (whichever | each other, as well as to |
| | | | | | | is less). | the beach-building effects |
| | | | | | | | of BHBFs. |

Page 18 of 37

NOTE ON GOAL 6: This goal is intended to help achieve the biological, cultural, and recreational goals.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | • | | | | |
| 6.3 (31) | Maintain | Old high water zone | Abundance | CRE below GCD | Information NeedIn 1992, there was an estimated 1,870 acres of OHWZ vegetation (cite: Stevens 1992). | Information Need | Target is no loss of area. |
| | | | Composition | 1 | Information Need | Information Need | |
| | | | Distribution | - | Information Need | Information Need | |
| <u>6.4</u> (32) | <u>Maintain</u> | Sand Beach | Abundance Composition Distribution | CRE below GCD | Information Need Information Need Information Need | Information Need Information Need Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| 6. <u>5</u> 4 (33) | Maintain | Culturally important species | Abundance Distribution | CRE below GCD | 157 species (Plants) ^(21;30) Information Need | Information Need Information Need | - |
| 6. <u>6</u> 5 (34) | Reduce | Invasive non- native species | Abundance (Abundance refers to number of individuals within the species. These species should be limited to invasive ones, not just non- natives.) | CRE below GCD | 95+ species (Plants) ⁽²⁸⁾ 3 species (Birds) ⁽²⁸⁾ | Range to be determined No new non-native species. Invasive non-native species cover = x% of total riparian area. Targets are species-specific. (Information Need)</td <td>The target for abundance is the level at which these species do not impinge on biological, recreational, and cultural resources.</td> | The target for abundance is the level at which these species do not impinge on biological, recreational, and cultural resources. |
| | | | Distribution | | Information Need | No new non-native species. Invasive non-native species cover = x% of total riparian area or less. Information Need</td <td>The target for distribution is no spreading of invasive non-native species to areas where they do not already occur.</td> | The target for distribution is no spreading of invasive non-native species to areas where they do not already occur. |

Page 19 of 37

NOTE ON GOAL 6: This goal is intended to help achieve the biological, cultural, and recreational goals.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|--|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |
| 6.7 new | Maintain | Spring and wetland | Habitat occupied by rare and endemic species | CRE below GCD at some stage level (Information | Information Need | Information Need | The target is to maintain the capability of these habitats to support the rare and endemic species |
| | | | | Need) | | | known to live there. The targets should recognize the dynamic nature of these habitats as influenced by flow events. |
| 6.86 (27) | Maintain | Southwest willow flycatcher | Riparian habitat | CRE below GCD, and especially from Separation to Lake Mead | Information Need | For a dynamic mosaic of NHWZ, OHWZ, and marsh vegetation, the NHWZ being dominated primarily by willows and/or tamarisk at least 4 meters high and in patches at least 20 meters wide. Information Need | The target is the capability of the habitat to support the species. The target is a dynamic mosaic of NHWZ, OHWZ, and marsh vegetation. The NHWZ should be dominated primarily by willows and/or tamarisk at least 4 meters high and in patches at least 20 meters wide. This MO provides specificity for other MOs under this goal. Lake Mead water levels are an important factor, but are outside the control of the AMP. Definitions of critical habitat will change as we learn more about the species needs. |

Page 20 of 37

Goal 7. Establish water temperature, quality, and flow dynamics to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

NOTE FOR GOAL 7: The phrase, "to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem goals," indicates that this goal is a method to achieve certain other goals. In this case, "ecosystem goals" includes biological goals, recreational goals, and the cultural goal.

| | T | | T _ | | | T | |
|--------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 7.1 | Attain | Water | Temperature | Mainstem | 6.93-18.56°C ⁽¹⁷⁾ | Use decision process | Target may include |
| (18) | | | range | | | <u>Information Need</u> | several stations in the |
| | | | | | | | mainstem. |
| | | | Seasonal | | Information Need | Use decision process | |
| | | | variability of | | | <u>Information Need</u> | |
| | | | temperature | | | | |
| | | | | | pased on the range of natural vari | | |
| | | | | ons for the featured | -targeted resources. Targeted res | sources are foodbase, native fish, | trout, and people (human |
| health | n and safety – mi | croorganisms and h | ypothermia). | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | itions for native biological resou | | ncluding foodbase and trout |
| | | • | | • | 3; Principles 4, 6, and 7; and the | | |
| 7.2 | Maintain | Water | Quality | Mainstem | Information Need (for the | Obtain from literature and | Parameters may include |
| (19) | | | | | specific water quality | use decision process | nutrients, salinity, pH, |
| | | | | | parameters to use). | <u>Information Need</u> | DO, nitrogen, |
| | | | | | | | phosphorus, microbes, |
| | | | | | | | and others. Data available |
| | L | L | L | <u> </u> | 1 | L | from NASQWAN. (35) |
| | | | | | he range of operational flexibility | | |
| | | | | | the <u>featured_targeted_resources.</u> | | |
| | | | | · | al experience, and cultural resour | | |
| 7.3 | Maintain | Flow dynamics | Power plant | Mainstem | ROD operating criteria | Current ROD Dam operating | See MO 50 for |
| (20) | | | operations | | | criteria then in effect | experimental flows. |
| | | | BHBF flows | | Maximum 45,000 cfs (March | Current ROD Dam operating | |
| | | | | | to April) | criteria then in effect | |
| | | | Habitat | | ROD operating criteria | Current ROD Dam operating | |
| | | | maintenance | | | criteria then in effect | |
| | | | flows | | | | |

Page 21 of 37

Goal 8. Increase fine sediment Maintain or attain levels of sediment storage within the main channel and along shorelines to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

NOTE FOR GOAL 8: The phrase, "to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem goals," indicates that this goal is a method to achieve certain other goals. In this case, "ecosystem goals" includes biological goals, recreational goals, and the cultural goal.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

The target for Goal 68 is enough sediment to achieve the biological, recreational, and cultural goals. Given limited sediment inputs, we need to retain enough sediment in the system to achieve ecosystem patterns in these goals). For the biological goals, the purposes are habitat and nutrient storage. For the cultural goal, the purposes are plant habitat and preserving sites through filling in arroyos and replenishing the terraces with sediment. For recreational goals, the purposes are camping beaches and trout spawning habitat. Linkages: Recreational, biological, and cultural goals: 1-4, 7-10, and 12. 329,000 m³ (35 sites)^(12;31) Current volumes or higher, Metric is area (m²) and 8.1 Maintain or Fine Sediment Abundance Main channel up including some timeframe volume (m³) as a rolling (21)attain to power plant average. Target level capacity based on tributary inputs and high flows timing should consider spawning habitat for trout in Glen (Information Need). Canyon. Also, see Kondolf. (16) $0.3-0.4 \text{ mm}^{(12;31)}$ Grain-size Current volumes or higher, including some timeframe Target level should based on tributary inputs and consider spawning habitat high flows timing for trout in Glen Canyon. (Information Need). Distribution Information Need Current volumes or higher, Metric is # sandbars by including some timeframe reach. Target level should based on tributary inputs and consider spawning habitat high flows timing for trout in Glen Canyon. (Information Need). 289.120 m³ (35 sites)^(12;31) 8.2 Maintain or Fine sediment Abundance Eddies up to Information Need Metric is area (m²) and (22)25.000 cfs volume (m³) as a rolling attain average 0.15-0.18 mm^(12; 31) Grain-size Information Need Metric is # of sandbars by Information Need Distribution Information Need reach 8.3 Maintain or Fine Sediment Abundance Shorelines 0.37m (Glen Canyon) Information Need Metric is area (m²) and volume (m³) as a rolling (23)attain between 25,000 0.60m (Marble Canyon) 0.80m (Grand Canyon) (12) cfs and average 0.15 -- 0.18 mm ⁽³¹⁾ maximum Grain-size Information Need **BHBF** Distribution Information Need Information Need Metric is # sandbars by reach

Page 22 of 37

Goal 9. Maintain or improve the quality of recreational experiences for users of the Colorado River ecosystem, within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

NOTE ON GOAL 9: The phrase, "within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals," is intended to indicate a hierarchy or order of precedence. That is, the accomplishment of this goal should be undertaken in such a way that the likelihood of achieving the biological goals and the cultural goal is not impaired.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-------------|---------------------|--|----------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 9.1 (35) | Maintain | Visitor | Physical access | Mainstem | Information Need. Obtain from current GLCA and GRCA management plans. Use 10-year average distributed by season of user- days, number of people, and distribution. | Information Need (subject to GLCA and GRCA river management plans in progress) | Target level should be within the capacity of the CRE to absorb visitor impacts. Target level should consider GLCA and GRCA Management Plans. See Myers et al. (25) |
| | | | Physical safety | | Information Need. Use average of NPS incident reports from Myers et al. for period 1988-92. | No more river-related deaths. Minimum flows 10,000 cfs. Maximum flows 35,000 cfs. BHBF flows OK with adequate warning time (Stewart et al. 2000) | |
| 9.2 (36) | Maintain or improve | Recreational opportunitiesy spectrum | Quality and quantity | Glen Canyon | Information Need GLCA data: number and variety of recreational activities. | GLCA Management Plan (in progress) | NPS studies underway. Target level should be within the capacity of the CRE to absorb visitor impacts. Target level |
| | | | | Grand Canyon | Information Need. GRCA data: number and variety of recreational activities. | GRCA Management Plan (in progress – temporarily suspended) | should consider GLCA and GRCA Management Plans. See Myers et al. (25) |
| 9.3 (37) | Increase | Camping beaches | Size | Mainstem in critical reaches | Information Need From Kaplinski et al. in prep. | 800 m ² (Stewart et al. 2000) | Target level should be within the capacity of the CRE to |
| | | | Quality | (where there are only very few, | Information Need | Information Need | absorb visitor impacts. Target level should consider GLCA and GRCA |
| | | | Distribution | very small, or very high use campsites) | 21 +/- 5 beaches per critical reach above 10,000 cfs capable of accommodating 16-36 people (after Kearsley et al. 1999) | Minimum 21 +/- 5 beaches per critical reach above maximum ROD flows (25,000 cfs) capable of accommodating 16-36 people (after Kearsley et al. 1999) | Management Plans. See Myers et al. ⁽²⁵⁾ Metric for Quality includes parameters for vegetation, sanitation, and shade. Metric for Distribution is number of campsites required per identified reach. |

Page 23 of 37

Goal 9. Maintain or improve the quality of recreational experiences for users of the Colorado River ecosystem, within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

NOTE ON GOAL 9: The phrase, "within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals," is intended to indicate a hierarchy or order of precedence. That is, the accomplishment of this goal should be undertaken in such a way that the likelihood of achieving the biological goals and the cultural goal is not impaired.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

| | _ | 1 | 1 | | | | |
|------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 9.4 | Improve | Rapids | Navigability | Mainstem | Information Need | Information Need | Target level to be |
| (38) | | | | | | | developed from NPS on- |
| | | | | | | See incident rates/flow level | river accident rates. See |
| | | | | | | during the late 1980s and | Myers et al. (25) The target |
| | | | | | | Interim Flow period. Also | should address |
| | | | | | | use Haberline study for a | navigability across the |
| | | | | | | reference. | range of flows allowed |
| | | | | | | Tererence. | within the ROD. The |
| | | | | | | | metric is the number of |
| | | | | | | | accidents per rapid at each |
| | | | | | | | flow. |
| 0.5 | 3.5 : . : | ъ . | 337'1 1 | 0 10 | T.C N. I | T.C N. I | |
| 9.5 | Maintain or | Experience | Wilderness | Grand Canyon | Information Need | Information Need | Metric to include |
| (39) | enhance | | | | | | parameters for primitive |
| | | | | | | | character, unconfined |
| | | | | | | | experience, undeveloped |
| | | | | | | | natural and wild |
| | | | | | | | character, opportunities |
| | | | | | | | for solitude, sounds of |
| | | | | | | | nature and scenic beauty. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | The NPS is probably |
| | | | | | | | responsible for |
| | | | | | | | monitoring this MO. |
| | | | | | | | momtoring uns wo. |
| | | | | | | | |

Page 24 of 37

Goal 10. Maintain or increase power capacity and energy generation, and increase where feasible and advisable, within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

NOTE ON GOAL 10: The phrase, "within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals," is intended to indicate a hierarchy or order of precedence. That is, the accomplishment of this goal should be undertaken in such a way that the likelihood of achieving the biological goals, the recreational goals, and the cultural goal is not impaired.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------|----------|
| 10.1 (40) | Maintain or increase | Power | Generation flexibility | GCD | ROD and current operating practices (33) | Information Need | |

Target is to maintain current practices, as constrained by the ROD, for

- marketable capacity and energy,
- existing emergency criteria for the WAPA and WSCC systems, and
- regulation for WALC and WACM;

and to determine feasibility and advisability for

- financial exception criteria for the WAPA system, and
- regulation for other systems.

Page 25 of 37

Goal 11. <u>Preserve, protect, manage, and treat</u> C_cultural resources within the river corridor shall be preserved, protected, managed and treated for the inspiration and benefit of past, present and future generations.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----------|---------------------|---|---|---------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| 11.1 (41) | Preserve | Register-eligible properties | National Register integrity | APE | Information Need | 100% of extant historic properties | Target level should consider recreational impacts. See USBR ⁽³²⁾ and Leap et al. ⁽¹⁹⁾ Target is to preserve register-eligible properties via protection, management, and/or treatment (data recovery) for the purpose of federal agency compliance with |
| | | | | | | | NHPA, and AMP and AMWG compliance with |
| 11.2 (42) | Preserve | Other cultural resources | Cultural values | CRE | Information Need | Information Need | GCPA. Target level should consider recreational impacts. Target is to preserve (stabilize or improve based on current cultural values) other traditionally important resources that are not sufficiently addressed under other MOs. |
| 11.3 (43) | Attain and maintain | AMP resource monitoring and management actions | Effective government-to- government consultation | CRE | Existing level: TWG, AMWG, and PA meetings. \$75,000 appropriated and \$400,000 power revenues. | 100% of AMP actions | See USBR ⁽³²⁾ Target is to achieve effective, legally mandated consultation. |

Page 26 of 37

Goal 11. Preserve, protect, manage, and treat Ccultural resources within the river corridor shall be preserved, protected, managed and treated for the inspiration and benefit of past, present and future generations.

| MO | Perform | On some | On some | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| # | some action | element | attribute | | | | |

| | 1 | 1 | | ~~~ | | | =(31) |
|------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| 11.4 | Protect and | Traditional | Physical access | CRE | Information Need | Information Need | See USBR ⁽³⁴⁾ |
| (44) | maintain | cultural | | | | | The target is designed to |
| | | resources | | | | | prevent AMWG from |
| | | | | | | | undertaking events that |
| | | | | | | | might restrict or block |
| | | | | | | | physical access by Native |
| | | | | | | | American religious |
| | | | | | | | practitioners, without |
| | | | | | | | meaningful consultation. |
| | | | | | | | See AIRFA and EO |
| | | | | | | | 13007. |
| 11.5 | Integrate | Information | Cultural and | CRE | Synthesis report (30) | Information Need | Target is to ensure that |
| (45) | | | other resources | | | | data is able to be used |
| | | | | | | | both for increased |
| | | | | | | | understanding of the past |
| | | | | | | | and for ongoing |
| | | | | | | | interactions of humans |
| | | | | | | | within the CRE. |

Page 27 of 37

Goal 12. Maintain a high-quality monitoring, research, and adaptive management program.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|--------------|---------------------|--|---|------------------|---|---|--|
| 1 | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| 12.1 (46) | Maintain or attain | Socio-economic data | Hydropower | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | The current level is how much socioeconomic data |
| (46) | attam | data | Air quality | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | we have on the attributes. |
| | | | Wilderness | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | The target level is how much socioeconomic data |
| | | Recreation N/A | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | is needed for adequate | |
| | | | Non-use values | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | decision-making. |
| | | | Tribal & spiritual values | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | |
| 12.2 (47) | Attain and maintain | Monitoring and research program | Natural, cultural, and recreational resources | CRE | GCMRC Strategic Plan | Updated GCMRC Strategic Plan | Current and target levels should include a planning document and an outside peer review document. |
| 12.3 (48) | Attain and maintain | AMP composed of all stakeholders | That acknowledges uncertainty and uses experimentation, monitoring & research | N/A | Information Need | Updated AMP Strategic Plan that describes the processes for science-based collaborative resources management. | |
| | | | Participation | | See meeting records. | 100% attendance by all representatives at AMWG and TWG meetings plus active participation in Ad Hoc Committees. | |
| 12.4 (49) | Attain and maintain | Funding | Tribal participation | АМР | \$75,000 (Appropriated) \$400,000 (Power revenues) | Information need | The target is a level of funding adequate to meet each tribe's needs to participate in the Adaptive Management Program. Linkage: Vision/Mission statement, particularly the mention of federal trust responsibilities. |

Page 28 of 37

Goal 12. Maintain a high-quality monitoring, research, and adaptive management program.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| 12.5 (50) | Conduct | Experimental flows | Flow dynamics | Mainstem | Information Need | Information Need | See GCMRC, (6) Webb et al. (37) and Topping et al. (31) Target level is the experiments needed to gain critical understanding of ecosystem function under different dam operations. |
| 12.6 (51) | Conduct | Management experiments | Other management actions | CRE | Information Need | Information Need | Target level is the experiments needed to gain critical understanding of ecosystem function under different management alternatives outside of dam operations. |
| 12.7 (52) | Build | AMP | Public support | N/A | Information Need | Information Need A public outreach plan adopted by the AMWG. Propose to have BOR, NPS, and USGS public affairs people develop the plan. | Metric should include GCMRC and BOR web pages; GCD programs and tours; AMWG Outreach Committee; publications; various AMWG member activities. The target is adequate public support for AMP experiments and adaptive management, and a diverse funding base. |
| 12.8 (53) | Maintain or attain | Funding | Foundation and Corporate Appropriated State Agency Power revenues | N/A | \$0 \$75,000 (FY 2000) Information Need (obtain from AGFD) \$6.22M (for GCMRC) \$1.443M (for BOR) | Information Need \$1,010,000 USGS \$475,000 Tribal participation Information Need \$7,850,000 indexed for CPI | The target is adequate funding to meet the goal. Develop a plan identifying sources for obtaining foundation and corporate funding. |

Page 29 of 37

Goal 12. Maintain a high-quality monitoring, research, and adaptive management program.

| MO # | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 12.0 | 361. | D (: : : | P (11 | CDE | T.C NT 1/1/ | I C C N 1 | |
| 12.9 (54) | Maintain or attain | Participation | Externally- funded investigators | CRE | Information Need (obtain from NPS) | Information Need MAs: | Current and target levels should include small and cost-shared projects in |
| | | | | | | 1. Develop a brochure that indicates support that would | NPS, AGFD, etc. |
| | | | | | | be provided by GCMRC and | The target is contributions |
| | | | | | | NPS to researchers who bring their own funding to | to meeting Information Needs by externally |
| | | | | | | address issues related to | funded investigators. |
| | | | | | | AMP MOs and INs. | NOTE: Incentives could include donated office |
| | | | | | | 2. Get outside researchers | space, partial funding, |
| | | | | | | engaged and obtain their | letters of support, |
| | | | | | | data. | facilitated access, and |
| | | | | | | | logistical support. |

Page 30 of 37

ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

Adaptive management is an iterative process, designed to experimentally compare selected management actions by evaluating alternative hypotheses about the ecosystem being managed. It consists of three parts: management actions, monitoring, and adaptation. Management actions are treated as experiments subject to modification. Monitoring is conducted to detect the effects of the management actions. Finally, management actions are refined based on the enhanced understanding about how the ecosystem responds.

AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECT

BIODIVERSITY

Biodiversity is "the variety of organisms considered at all levels, from genetic variants belonging to the same species through arrays of species to arrays of genera, families, and still higher taxonomic levels [including] ... the variety of ecosystems..." (38)

BIOLOGICAL GOALS

Biological goals include Goal 1 (foodbase), Goal 2 (native fish), Goal 3 (extirpated species), Goal 5 (Kanab ambersnail), and Goal 6 (riparian and spring communities).

BIOTIC COMMUNITY

A biotic community is a "group of organisms \dots that co-occur in the same habitat or area and interact through trophic and spatial relationships \dots " (20)

CAPACITY (GENERATING)

Generating capacity is a measure of the ability to generate electric power, usually expressed in MW (megawatts). The capacity of a hydropower plant is a function of head (reservoir elevation) and maximum water flow through the turbines.

COLORADO RIVER ECOSYSTEM

The Colorado River ecosystem is the Colorado River mainstem corridor and interacting resources in associated riparian and terrace zones, located primarily from the forebay of Glen Canyon Dam to the western boundary of Grand Canyon National Park. It includes the downstream inundation level to which dam operations impact physical, biological, recreational, cultural, and other resources. The scope of GCDAMP activities may include limited investigations into some tributaries (e.g., the Little Colorado and Paria Rivers).

CONCEPTUAL MODEL

A conceptual model is an "assessment of the dynamics of the more important compartments and fluxes of material or energy in a system [i.e., patterns and processes], or of changes in a population." (20) A conceptual model is a heuristic tool to provide a framework for thinking about how an ecosystem functions and to discover gaps in our knowledge.

CULTURAL GOAL

Cultural goal refers to Goal 11.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Cultural resources includes, but is not necessarily limited to, any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, landscape, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in the National Register, including artifacts, records, and material remains related to such a property or resource. Properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an Indian tribe are included in this definition under Section 101(d)(6)(A) of NHPA.

ECOSYSTEM

An ecosystem is "a community of organisms and their physical environment interacting as an ecological unit." (20) An ecosystem consists of patterns and processes that are dynamic and occur within a particular range of temporal and spatial variability.

Attach_03 Page 31 of 37

ECOSYSTEM INTEGRITY

Ecosystem integrity is "the ability to support and maintain a balanced, integrated, adaptive biological system having the full range of elements (genes, species, and assemblages) and processes (mutation, demography, biotic interactions, nutrient and energy dynamics, and metapopulation processes) expected in the natural habitat of a region." (13) Ecosystem integrity is related to ecosystem resilience (*i.e.*, the capacity to maintain characteristic patterns and processes) following a disturbance.

ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT

An ecosystem management approach differs from an issue-, species-, or resource-specific approach. Ecosystem management is a method for sustaining or restoring ecosystems and their functions and values. "It is goal driven, and it is based on a collaboratively developed vision of desired future conditions that integrates ecological, economic, and social factors. It is applied within a geographic framework defined primarily by ecological boundaries." (11) Ecosystem management is a process that attempts to mimic appropriate ecosystem patterns (abundance and distribution of species and habitats) and ecosystem processes (drivers of ecosystem patterns). It includes managing for viable populations of all native species.

ECOSYSTEM PATTERNS

Ecosystem pattern is the abundance of species, biotic communities, and physical habitats, as well as their spatial and temporal distribution. This is a broader concept than "composition and structure." Composition usually refers only to species presence or absence, and structure usually refers to the distribution of biotic communities.

ECOSYSTEM PROCESSES

Ecosystem processes are the abiotic (*i.e.*, non-living) and biotic (*i.e.*, living) functions, disturbances, or events that shape ecosystem patterns. There are physical processes (*e.g.*, fire, hydrologic, geomorphic, and climatic regimes; air chemistry, nutrient cycling), biological processes (*e.g.*, competition, predation, herbivory, parasitism, disease, migration, dispersal, gene flow, succession, recruitment, maturation), and anthropogenic processes (*e.g.*, habitat conversion, novel toxins, vandalism).

ELECTRICAL ENERGY

Electrical energy is the generation or use of electrical power over a period, usually expressed in megawatt-hours (MWh), kilowatt-hours (kWh), or gigawatt-hours (GWh).

EXTIRPATED SPECIES

An extirpated species is one that no longer occurs (*i.e.*, has become extinct) in a particular area. Examples from the CRE include river otter and razorback sucker.

INVASIVE SPECIES

An invasive species is one that has invaded an area following changes in one or more ecosystem processes and has become dominant. Examples from the CRE include non-native species (e.g., tamarisk) and native species (e.g., willow).

LEGAL FLEXIBILITY

Legal flexibility is that which is allowed by the <u>legal sideboards</u>statutes, judicial decrees, compacts, and treaties controlling operation of the dam.

MONITORING

Monitoring is the "collection and analysis of repeated observations or measurements to evaluate changes in condition and progress toward meeting a management objective." Monitoring needs to produce data of sufficient statistical power to detect a trend if in fact it is occurring. Monitoring differs from inventorying, which is the measurement of environmental attributes at a given point in time to determine what is there. It also differs from research, which is the measurement of environmental attributes to test a specific hypothesis .

NATIVE SPECIES

A native species is one that occurred in an area prior to anthropogenic alterations to ecosystem patterns and/or processes. Examples from the CRE include humpback chub, razorback sucker, flannelmouth sucker, bluehead

Attach_03 Page 32 of 37

sucker, speckled dace, Colorado pikeminnow, bonytail, roundtail chub, river otter, Kanab ambersnail, Southwest willow flycatcher, brown-headed cowbird, netleaf hackberry, honey mesquite & catclaw acacia.

NATURALIZED SPECIES

A naturalized species is one-a non-native species that has become established in an area. See examples in the CRE listed under non-native species.

NON-NATIVE SPECIES

A non-native species is one that did not occur in an area prior to anthropogenic alterations to ecosystem patterns and/or processes. Non-natives are also known as introduced, exotic, or alien species. Many, but not all, non-native species can be categorized as an invasive species. Examples of non-native species in the CRE include *Gammarus*, rainbow trout, brown trout, common carp, red shiner, channel catfish, tamarisk, and camelthorn.

OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITY

Operational flexibility is the physical capability of the dam to release water.

QUALITATIVE TARGET

An articulation of the purpose of one or more Management Objectives, in order to give a description in words of what the numerical target levels are intended to accomplish, and to give direction and guidance to the persons who developed the quantitative targets.

RANGE OF NATURAL VARIABILITY

The Range of Natural Variability is the spatial and temporal variation in ecosystem patterns and ecosystem processes under which the ecosystem has evolved. The range of natural variability for ecological processes is usually defined by their frequency (e.g., number/year), intensity (e.g., cubic feet per second), duration (e.g., number of days), magnitude (e.g., acres), seasonally, and rate of change. See Landres⁽¹⁸⁾ for a full discussion.

REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVE

"Reasonable and prudent alternatives refer to alternative actions identified during formal consultation that can be implemented in a manner consistent with the intended purpose of the action, that can be implemented consistent with the scope of the Federal agency's legal authority and jurisdiction, that is economically and technologically feasible, and that the Director believes would avoid the likelihood of jeopardizing the continued existence of listed species or resulting in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat." (5)

REASONABLE AND PRUDENT MEASURE

"Reasonable and prudent measures refer to those actions the Director believes necessary or appropriate to minimize the impacts, i.e., amount or extent of incidental take." (5)

RECOVERY

Recovery is improvement in the status of a listed species to the point at which listing is no longer appropriate, under the criteria set out in section 4(a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act $^{(5)}$.

RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITY SPECTRUM

RECREATIONAL GOALS

Recreational goals include Goal 4 (trout) and Goal 9 (recreation).

REMOVAL OF JEOPARDY

To "jeopardize the continued existence of [a listed species] means to engage in an action that reasonably would be expected, directly or indirectly, to reduce appreciably the likelihood of both the survival and recovery of a listed species in the wild by reducing the reproduction, numbers, or distribution of that species." (5) Removing (or avoiding) jeopardy is intended to be accomplished through the implementation of reasonable and prudent alternatives.

Attach_03 Page 33 of 37

RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEM

The riparian ecosystem is the streamside zone that is influenced by riverine processes, e.g., flood regime and distance to subsurface water.

RIVERINE ECOSYSTEM

The riverine ecosystem is any area typically inundated by the river.

VIABLE POPULATION

A population is considered viable when there is a high chance of persistence over a long timeframe without demographic or genetic augmentation. Population viability is not the same as "recovery" or "removal of jeopardy" for a species. However, the concept of population viability is an important consideration in determining recovery and removal of jeopardy.

Page 34 of 37

Abbreviations

AFDW ash-free dry weight

AGFD Arizona Game and Fish Department
AIRFA American Indian Religious Freedom Act

AMP adaptive management program

AMWG Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group

APE Area of Potential Effect
BHBF beach/habitat building flow

BO biological opinion cfs cubic feet per second

CPOM coarse particulate organic matter

CPUE catch per unit effort
CRE Colorado River ecosystem

D50 median grain size
DO dissolved oxygen
EO Executive Order

FPOM fine particulate organic matter

GCD Glen Canyon Dam

GCMRC Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center

GCPA Grand Canyon Protection Act

GLCA Glen Canyon National Recreation Area

GRCA Grand Canyon National Park

HBC Humpback chub
KAS Kanab ambersnail
LCR Little Colorado River
MA management action
MO management objective
Ne effective population size

NHPA National Historic Properties Act

NHWZ new high water zone
NPS National Park Service
OHWZ old high water zone

popn population

PVA population viability analysis

RBT Rainbow trout

Register National Historic Register RNV range of natural variability

ROD record of decision

RPA reasonable and prudent alternative SWWF Southwestern willow flycatcher

TBD to be determined

WACM Western Area - Colorado Montrose WALC Western Area - Lower Colorado

WAPA Western Area Power Administration, Department of Energy

Wr mean annual relative weight

WSCC Western Systems Coordinating Council

Attach_03 Page 35 of 37

Literature cited

- 1. AGFD. 1999. Kanab ambersnail translocation efforts in Grand Canyon. Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 2. Brown, B.T. 1994. Rates of brood parasitism by brown-headed cowbirds on riparian passerines in Arizona. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 65: 160-168.
- 3. Douglas, M.E. and P.C. Marsh. 1996. Population estimates/population movements of *Gila cypha*, an endangered cyprinid fish in the Grand Canyon region of Arizona. *Copeia* 1996: 15-28.
- 4. Elzinga, C.L., et al. 1998. Measuring and monitoring plant populations. BLM.
- 5. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 1986. Interagency cooperation Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended; final rule, June 3. *Federal Register* 51: 19926-19963.
- 6. GCMRC. 1998. Draft GCMRC response to the Glen Canyon TWG (ad-hoc group) request for assessment of a proposal to develop a research plan to analyze resource responses to alternative BHBF and load-following releases from Glen Canyon Dam. Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.
- 7. GCMRC. 1999. The state of natural and cultural resources in the Colorado River ecosystem: 1998 report. Glen Canyon Monitoring and Research Center. Dated 8 Dec 1998.
- 8. Gibbs, J.P., et al. 1998. Monitoring populations of plants and animals. BioScience 48: 935-940.
- 9. Gorman, O.T. and R.G. Bramblett. 1999. Monitoring and studies of native fishes of the Colorado River ecosystem in Grand Canyon, Arizona. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- 10. Hoffmeister, D.F. 1986. Mammals of Arizona. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- 11. Interagency Ecosystem Management Task Force. 1995. *The ecosystem approach: healthy ecosystems and sustainable economies*. National Technical Information Service.
- 12. Kaplinski, M., *et al.* 2000. Monitoring fine-sediment storage of the Colorado River ecosystem below Glen Canyon Dam, Arizona. Northern Arizona University Department of Geology.
- 13. Karr, J.R. 1996. Ecological integrity and ecological health are not the same. Pages 97-109 in P. Schulze, ed. *Engineering within ecological constraints*. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- 14. Kearsley, L.H., *et al.* 1999. Changes in the number and size of campsites as determined by inventories and measurement. Pages 147-159 in R.H. Webb, *et al.*, eds. *The controlled flood in Grand Canyon*. American Geophysical Union.
- 15. Kearsley, M.J.C., *et al.* 1999. Second year transition monitoring of riparian vegetation from Glen Canyon Dam to Pearce Ferry: draft final report. Report prepared for the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.
- 16. Kondolf, G.M. 2000. Assessing salmonid spawning gravel quality. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 129: 262-281.
- 17. Korn, J. and W. Vernieu. 1998. Mainstem and tributary temperature monitoring in Grand Canyon, Arizona. Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.
- 18. Landres, P.B., *et al.* 1999. Overview of the use of natural variability concepts in managing ecological systems. *Ecological Applications* 9: 1179-1188.
- 19. Leap, L.M., *et al.* 2000. Grand Canyon monitoring project 1992-1999: synthesis and annual report FY99. Grand Canyon National Park and Northern Arizona University.
- 20. Lincoln, R., et al. 1998. A dictionary of ecology, evolution and systematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Attach_03 Page 36 of 37

Literature cited

- 21. Lomaomvaya, M., *et al.* 1999. Ongtuvaqava sakwtala: Hopi ethnobotany in the Grand Canyon, review draft. Hopi Tribe.
- 22. McKinney, T., *et al.* 1999. Lotic community responses in the Lees Ferry reach. Pages 249-258 in R.H. Webb, *et al.*, eds. *The controlled flood in Grand Canyon*. American Geophysical Union.
- 23. McKinney, T.R.S. and W.R. Persons. 1999. Rainbow trout and lower trophic levels in the Lees Ferry tailwater below Glen Canyon Dam, Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 24. Meretsky, V.J. and D.L. Wegner. 1999. Kanab ambersnail at Vaseys Paradise, Grand Canyon National Park, 1998-99 monitoring and research: draft final report. SWCA, Inc.
- 25. Myers, T.M., et al. 1999. Fateful journey: injury and death on Colorado River trips in Grand Canyon. Red Lake Books, Flagstaff.
- 26. Paradzick, C.E., *et al.* 2000. Southwestern willow flycatcher 1999 survey and nest monitoring report. Technical Report 151. Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 27. Shannon, J.P., *et al.* 1999. Monitoring the aquatic food base in the Colorado River, Arizona during fiscal year 1999. Northern Arizona University. Report prepared for the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.
- 28. Stevens, L.E. and T.J. Ayers. In press. The biodiversity and distribution of alien vascular plant and animals in the Grand Canyon region. in B. Tellman, ed. *Alien species in the Sonoran Desert*. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- 29. Stevens, L.E., *et al.* 1995. Geomorphic influences on fluvial marsh development along the dam-regulated Colorado River in the Grand Canyon, Arizona. *Ecological Applications* 5: 1035-1039.
- 30. SWCA. 2000. Cultural resources data synthesis within the Colorado River corridor, Grand Canyon National Park and Glen Canyon National Recreation Area, Arizona. Report prepared for the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.
- 31. Topping, D.J., *et al.* 2000. Colorado River sediment transport 2. Systematic bed-elevation and grain-size effects of sand supply limitation. *Water Resources Research* 36: 543-570.
- 32. USBR. 1997. Final draft historic preservation plan for cultural resources affected by Glen Canyon Dam operations. Bureau of Reclamation.
- 33. USBR. 1999. 29th Annual Report 2000 Annual Operating Plan for Colorado River system reservoir. Bureau of Reclamation.
- 34. USBR, *et al.* 1993-1994. Programmatic agreement on cultural resources. Dated (signed) between July 1993 and August 1994. 7 pages.
- 35. USGS. 2000. NASQWAN data.
- 36. Valdez, R.A. and R.J. Ryel. 1997. Life history and ecology of the humpback chub in the Colorado River in Grand Canyon, Arizona. Pages 3-31. *Proceedings of the Third Biennial Conference on the Colorado Plateau*. National Park Service, Denver.
- 37. Webb, R.H., et al., eds. 1999. The controlled flood in Grand Canyon. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC.
- 38. Wilson, E.O. 1992. *The diversity of life*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.

Attach_03 Page 37 of 37